

BEFORE THE SECURITIES APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Date : 10.12.2020

**Misc. Application No. 533 of 2020
(Urgency Application)
And
Appeal (L) No. 552 of 2020**

Quantum Securities Private Limited ...Appellant

Versus

Securities and Exchange Board of India ...Respondent

Mr. Mustafa Doctor, Senior Advocate with Mr. Sandeep Parekh, Mr. Dinkar Singh, Mr. Rahul Das and Mr. Mihir Deshmukh, Advocates i/b Finsec Law Advisors for the Appellant.

Mr. Venkatesh Dhond, Senior Advocate with Mr. Mihir Mody and Mr. Arnav Misra, Advocates i/b K. Ashar & Co. for the Respondent.

ORDER:

1. We have heard Shri Mustafa Doctor, the learned senior counsel for the appellant and Shri Venkatesh Dhond, the learned senior counsel for the respondent SEBI through video conference.
2. The urgency application is allowed.

3. The appellant has filed the present appeal questioning the order of the WTM dated November 27, 2020 whereby the appellant has been debarred from accessing the securities market for a period of two years and in addition thereto the appellant has been directed to disgorge an amount of Rs. 2.20 crore to be paid jointly and severally by the appellant and other noticees.

4. The alleged transaction for violation of price sensitive information relates to the year 2006-2008 and consequently one of the grounds urged is, that there is an undue delay in initiating the proceedings, as a result of which, a clear prejudice has been caused to the appellant pursuant to the impugned order. It has also been contended that the only transaction of the appellant is a trade of 1000 shares for Rs. 4,08,000/- and as per the impugned order the profit indicated is Rs. 3100/-. It was, thus, contended that the impugned order is totally illegal and wholly arbitrary.

5. We find that one of the noticee is Mr. Sanjay Dutt who is also a director along with his brother in the appellant's Company and Mr. Sanjay Dutt is supposed to have played the main role of an insider in the entire transaction. Considering the

aforesaid coupled with the fact that the appellant is a broker and has hundreds of clients we direct the respondent to file a reply within four weeks from today. Two weeks thereafter to the appellant to file a rejoinder. The matter would be listed for admission and for final disposal on January 28, 2021.

6. In the meanwhile, we stay the effect and operation of the impugned order provided the appellant deposits a sum of Rs. 1 crore before the respondent within two weeks from today. If the said amount is deposited, the same shall be kept in a separate interest bearing account and would be subject to the result of the appeal.

7. Parties are directed to take instructions from the Registrar 48 hours before the date fixed in order to find out as to whether the matter would be taken up for hearing through video conference or through physical hearing.

8. The present matter was heard through video conference due to Covid-19 pandemic. At this stage it is not possible to sign a copy of this order nor a certified copy of this order could be issued by the registry. In these circumstances, this order will be digitally signed by the Presiding Officer on behalf of the bench and all concerned parties are directed to act on the digitally

signed copy of this order. Parties will act on production of a
digitally signed copy sent by fax and/or email.

**TARUN
AGARWAL**

Digitally signed by TARUN AGARWAL
DN: c=IN, o=PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT UTTAR
PRADESH, postalCode=221505, st=Uttar Pradesh,
2.5.4.20=30f6f686851920bc33e6f1608a83fb3881de7a
c2ab7954d509c85ed6e07c41,
serialNumber=095f8b286bb0b1cd07ff676611f4f5e79
99727d404aa38ca422f9d3529bdb8a3, cn=TARUN
AGARWAL
Date: 2020.12.10 20:20:30 +05'30'

**Justice Tarun Agarwala
Presiding Officer**

**Justice M.T. Joshi
Judicial Member**

10.12.2020
msb